

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| 10/628,860 | 07/28/2003 | Joseph S. Bieganek | 249.303 | 3850 |
| 28785 | 7590 07/14/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| JOHN R LEY, LCC 5299 DTC BLVD, SUITE 610 GREENWOOD VILLAGE, CO 80111 | | | SAFAVI, MICHAEL | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3673 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 07/14/200 | DATE MAILED: 07/14/2005 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Office Action Summary The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---|--|---|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| M. Safavi 3673 - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, as reply the limity filled sets 20; 90 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, as reply within the statitory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, as reply the limity filled sets 20; 90 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above, the maintenant analysis of the will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above, the maintenant and the period of this communication, and the replication are set of the specified and the communication. Any reply received by the Office later than three maintenants after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any send period to the specific time adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.76(b). Status 1) | | | | | | | |
| Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Educations of time may be available under the promise of 3°C RF. 1.13(a). In an event, however, may a reply the timely filled sher SX (6) MONTHS from the mailing data of the communication. I the period for reply specified after on its set than this (70) (30) days, a very within the statebory minimum of this (20) days, will be considered timely. I this period for reply section from the mailing data of the communication. Fallur to reply within the set or extended period for reply will be yet statebory minimum of this (20) days, will be considered timely. Fallur to reply within the set or extended period for reply will be yet statebory minimum of this (20) days, will be considered timely. Fallur to reply within the set or extended period for reply will be yetather, cause the application to become ABANDONED (38 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office either the remaining date of this communication, even if sheety § 180. S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office either than three maining date of this communication, even if sheety § 180. S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office either than three maining date of this communication, even if sheety § 180. S.C. § 133). Any reply received the order of the promise of th | | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ③ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled state 316, 196, 196/1715 from the mailing date of the commission. If NO pariod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 316, (90 MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication. Failure to reply within the statutory minimum of thinty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO pariod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 316 (8) MONTHS from the maling date of this communication of the c | | | | | | | |
| THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estancians of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed other SX (b) MoNTIS from the mailing date of this communication. **The period for reply specified above is leas then think (20) days, a reply visibility of the chartory minimum of think (20) days, a reply visibility of the chartory minimum of think (20) days, a reply visibility of the chartory minimum of think (20) days a reply visibility of the chartory reply visibility of the chartor of the chartory of the communication. **Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply visibility days will represent the communication (30 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three montals after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any certain delent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). **Status** 1) **Exponsive to communication(s) filed on **December 27, 2004 & April 25, 2005.** 2a) **Exponsive to communication(s) filed on **December 27, 2004 & April 25, 2005.** 2a) **Exponsive to communication(s) filed on **December 27, 2004 & April 25, 2005.** 2a) **Exponsive to communication(s) filed on **December 27, 2004 & April 25, 2005.** 2a) **Exponsive to communication(s) filed on **December 27, 2004 & April 25, 2005.** 2a) **Exponsive to communication(s) filed on **December 27, 2004 & April 25, 2005.** 2a) **Exponsive to communication for call of the communication in specification in a control of the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Exponsive for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under 27, 2004 & April 25, 2005.** 2a) **Exponsive for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under 27, 2004 & April 25, 2005.** 2b) **Claim(s) **In 3.4.6-22.24-27 and 29-52 is/are replected.** 2c) **Claim(s) **In 3.4.6-22.24-27 and 29-52 is/are replected.** 2p) **Claim(s) **In 3.4.6-22. | | of this communication app | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on December 27, 2004 & April 25, 2005. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.3.4.6-22.24-27 and 29-52 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.3.4.6-22.24-27 and 29-52 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any | | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b ☐ This action is non-final. 3 ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 ☐ Claim(s) 1,3,4,6-22,24-27 and 29-52 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 4 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | Status | | | | | | |
| 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 Claim(s) 1.3.4.6-22.24-27 and 29-52 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | 1) Responsive to comr | nunication(s) filed on <u>Dece</u> | mber 27, 2004 & April 25, 2005. | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.3.4.6-22.24-27 and 29-52 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | <i>,</i> — | This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| Algorithm of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.3.4.6-22.24-27 and 29-52 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.3.4.6-22.24-27 and 29-52 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1.3.4.6-22.24-27 and 29-52 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.3.4.6-22.24-27 and 29-52 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | closed in accordanc | e with the practice under <i>E</i> | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | 3 O.G. 213. | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to post or estriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4a) Of the above cla 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/ar 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,6-22,</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/ar | im(s) is/are withdrave re allowed. <u>24-27 and 29-52</u> is/are reje re objected to. | n from consideration. | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some col None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | Application Papers | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | · | • | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | | | | | | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 | 9 | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| | | | 🗖 : | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/27/04. Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other: | 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent 3) Dinformation Disclosure Stateme | Drawing Review (PTO-948) ent(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P | ite | | | |

He

Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050630

Application/Control Number: 10/628,860

Art Unit: 3673

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed December 27, 2004 fails to fully comply with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP § 609 because Applicant has not provided a date of publication for the paper listed under 'Other Documents'. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein, with respect to the paper listed under 'Other Documents', has not been considered as to the merits. Applicant is advised that the date of any re-submission of any item of information contained in this information disclosure statement or the submission of any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the statement, including all certification requirements for statements under 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP § 609

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of the invention of Group I in the reply filed on April 25, 2005 is acknowledged. The requirement for restriction is withdrawn with claims 1, 3, 4, 6-22, 24-27 and 29-52 being examined as to the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

Application/Control Number: 10/628,860

Art Unit: 3673

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 6-22, 24-27 and 29-52 are, as best understood, rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,163,737 to Navach et al.

Navach et al. discloses, Fig. 7, a support contour comprising "relief areas" and "support areas". The "support areas" are situated at lateral rear portions of the cushion while the "relief areas" are situated at lateral portions of the cushion just forward of the "support areas", claims 1 and 22. Navach et al. also discloses a method of configuring a support contour to contact and support a person sitting on the support contour comprising defining relief areas in the support contour, defining support areas in the support contour and positioning the relief areas and the support areas. A back wall can be seen along the back of the cushion with a "center cavity" located at the center of the cushion with a "lateral area" on opposite sides of the cushion, claims 12, 13, 46, and 47. Each "lateral area" can be seen as "curving downwardly and inwardly", claim 13. A "posterior thigh protrusion area", (though not clearly disclosed in the instant specification), can be seen just in front of the "center cavity", (e.g., raising up and leading into 78, for example), claims 14 and 19. Channel area is that portion towards the rear of the cushion, **claim 15**. A "pelvic protrusion area", (though not clearly disclosed in the instant specification), can be seen rising up from the "center cavity", claim 16. Navach et al. discloses use in/on a wheelchair, claims 20 and 30. A "clearance area" is located just in front of the "center cavity", claim 21. Navach et al. discloses a support structure comprising "a matrix of resilient adhered-together plastic

Application/Control Number: 10/628,860

Art Unit: 3673

beads" with "spaces between the beads to establish permeability for air movement within the support structure" by virtue of the open cell foam structure of the Navach et al. support, col. 4, line 47; col. 5, lines 10-11; col. 6, lines 40 and 46, **claims 38-43**.

The language of claims 1, 3, 4, 6-22, 24-27 and 29-52 is replete with desired effect or a presumed consequence of the claimed cushion. As such, Navach et al. meets the limitations of claims 1, 3, 4, 6-22, 24-27 and 29-52 in that the cushion shown by Navach et al. can present the same effect depending upon how it is utilized, (e.g., size of person, weight of person, how a person specifically sits upon the cushion, etc.).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed December 27, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. As set forth above the cushion of Navach et al. does disclose all of the positively recited structural features presented with claims 1, 3, 4, 6-22, 24-27 and 29-52. Otherwise, Applicants' arguments appear directed to presumed effect or a desired consequence, which does not serve to overcome the above rejection involving Navach et al.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Safavi whose telephone number is (571) 272-7046. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thur., 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Shackelford can be reached on (571) 272-7049. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

MICHAEL SAFAVI PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 354